

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Webshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/783,320	02/15/2001	D. Wade Walke	LEX-0137-USA	3185	
24231	7590 05/22/2002				
LEXICON GENETICS INCORPORATED 8800 TECHNOLOGY FOREST PLACE THE WOODLANDS, TX 77381-1160			EXAMINER		
			RAMIREZ, DELIA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		Application No.	Annlicant(c)	
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)		
		09/783,320	WALKE ET AL.	
U	nice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication app	Delia M. Ramirez	1652	addross
Period for Rep	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondenc	iuu/633
THE MAIL! - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period 1 - If NO period 1 - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we only within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing therm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered tin o the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	<u> March 2002</u> .		
2a) This	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
	ce this application is in condition for allowa ed in accordance with the practice under f Claims			the merits is
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) $\frac{4 \text{ and } 5}{1 \text{ same pending in the applicat}}$	ion.		
4a) O	If the above claim(s) $\underline{5}$ is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.		
5) Clain	n(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected.			
7)∐ Clain	n(s) is/are objected to.			
•	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Pa		•		
,—	pecification is objected to by the Examine			
<i>,</i> —	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the			A.
, ,	licant may not request that any objection to the roposed drawing correction filed on			
<i>,</i> — .	oproved, corrected drawings are required in rep		Ovod by the Exam	iiiioi.
•	ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
/	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	
,—	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
1.		s have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No	
_	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		al Stage
14)⊠ Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisio	nal application).
, —	The translation of the foreign language pro wledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachment(s)	\		٠.	
2) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Z	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper     Patent Application (	

Art Unit: 1652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of the Application

Claims 4-5 are pending.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claim 4 drawn to a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 4, cancellation of claims 1-3 and 6-10, and a request to correct inventorship in Paper No. 10, filed on 3/5/2002 are acknowledged.

Applicant's traverse is on the ground(s) that the nucleic acids described in SEQ ID NO: 1, 3, and 5 and the corresponding polypeptides (SEQ ID NO: 2, 4, and 6) are all encoded by a common genetic locus and are therefore not independent. Applicants argue that Groups I, II, and III should be combined since they are drawn to nucleic acids which share a common nexus of invention. Further, Applicants argue that at least Group II and III (claims 4 and 5) should be rejoined because the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 3 contains SEQ ID NO: 5 in its entirety and that SEQ ID NO: 3 contains 98 nucleotides more than SEQ ID NO: 5.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the restriction requirement. Applicant's arguments with regards to Group I are moot in view of the cancellation of the claims of this group. With regards to Groups II and II, Applicants assert that the only difference between the polynucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 3 and 5 and the corresponding polypeptides of SEQ ID NO: 4 and 6, is that SEQ ID NO: 3 comprises all of SEQ ID NO: 5 and that SEQ ID NO: 5 is missing only 98 nucleotides. It is noted that the specification does not disclose the relationship between SEQ ID NO: 3 and 5 as asserted by Applicants in Paper No. 10. Upon alignment of SEQ ID NO: 3 and 5, it was found that SEQ ID NO: 3 is not 98 nucleotides longer than SEQ ID NO: 5 but rather 621 nucleotides longer.

Art Unit: 1652

Similarly, an alignment of SEQ ID NO: 4 and 6 revealed that SEQ ID NO: 4 is 207 amino acids long. Therefore, one cannot conclude that the function of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 6 is necessarily identical to that of SEQ ID NO: 4 or that SEQ ID NO: 6 is an obvious fragment of SEQ ID NO: 4. In addition, no disclosure has been provided of what the function of the additional 207 amino acids is or how the polypeptides of SEQ ID NO: 4 and 6 are related. Each polynucleotide and polypeptide disclosed in the instant invention comprise a different structure and function, therefore they are patentably distinct inventions. Furthermore, the search and examination of Groups I-VI would impose an undue burden on the Office since the search is not co-extensive.

The requirement is deemed proper and therefore is made FINAL.

Claim 5 is withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) to provisional application No. 60/183,582 filed on 2/18/2000 and provisional application No. 60/184,014 filed on 2/22/200.

#### Inventorship

2. In view of the papers filed on 3/5/2002, the inventorship in this nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of Yi Hu and Boris Nepomnichy.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Art Unit: 1652

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial and specific asserted utility or a well established utility.

Applicants assert that the polypeptides encoded by the polynucleotides of the instant application share structural similarity to animal kinases (page 1, lines 33-35) and that these kinases include, but are not limited to, cell division control protein kinases, serine/threonine protein kinases, guanylate kinases (page 2, lines 1-5). Therefore, Applicants assert, the polypeptides encoded by the polynucleotides of the instant application encode a novel kinase family having homologs and orthologs across a range of phyla and species.

However, based upon Applicant's disclosure, the claimed invention does not meet the utility requirement for the following reasons. First, one cannot determine which type of kinase is being encoded by the polynucleotide of the instant invention (SEQ ID NO: 3). Kinases belong to a large and diverse family with different specificities and substrates. Therefore, one of skill in the art cannot determine the actual function and consequently, the use of the protein (SEQ ID NO: 4) encoded by the polynucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 3) of the instant invention. In addition, neither Applicant's disclosure, nor the state of the art at the time the invention was made provides guidance as to what the function of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 4 is, its specificity or its substrate. No working examples have been provided either. No disclosure of critical

Art Unit: 1652

Page 5

structural elements which would indicate the type of kinase being encoded by the polynucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 3) of the instant application is provided.

Applicant's asserted utility for the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 4 (kinase), particularly in view of a lack of knowledge as to the type of kinase, specificity and substrate, constitutes a utility that requires further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use. See e.g., Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 148 USPQ 689 (Sup. Ct. 1966). This type of utility is not considered a "substantial utility". An assay that detects the presence of an agent that has a stated correlation to a predisposition to the onset of a specific disease condition would be considered a "substantial utility" in the context of identifying potential candidates for preventive measures. Here the polynucleotide and corresponding polypeptide are suitable only for additional research. Thus, for the reasons set forth, the claimed polynucleotide does not have a real-world. use and hence lack utility for the reasons set forth above.

4. Claim 4 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial and specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

- 5. No claim is in condition for allowance.
- 6. Applicants are requested to submit a clean copy of the pending claims (including amendments, if any) in future written communications to aid in the examination of this application.

Art Unit: 1652

É

7. Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1652 by facsimile transmission. The FAX number is (703) 308-4556. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant submits a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delia M. Ramirez whose telephone number is (703) 306-0288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Delia M. Ramirez, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1652

DR

May 16, 2002

REBECCA E. PROUTY

GROUP 1800.

1600